





Early Days: Application of the CISG in Hong Kong and to Parties from Hong Kong
Professor Ulrich G. Schroeter

Professor of Law University of Basel, Switzerland

The CISG's introduction in Hong Kong

- CISG was introduced in Hong Kong effective 1 December 2022
 - PRC declaration: CISG shall apply to Hong Kong SAR
 - Sale of Goods (United Nations Convention) Ordinance (Cap. 641)
 came into operation on 1 December 2022
- Why is there yet no published CISG case law in Hong Kong?
- Experience: Early days are quiet days
 - Reason 1: Temporal applicability of the CISG
 - Reason 2: CISG awareness in Hong Kong still developing
 - Reason 3: CISG not only applies in Hong Kong, but to contracts with Hong Kong parties anywhere



Temporal applicability in and to Hong Kong

Art. 1(1) CISG [Sphere of application]

This Convention applies to contracts of sale of goods between parties whose places of business are in different States:

- (a) when the States are Contracting States; or
- (b) when the rules of private international law lead to the application of the law of a Contracting State.

Art. 100(1) CISG [Temporal applicability]

This Convention applies to the formation of a contract only when the **proposal for** concluding the contract is made on or after the date when the Convention enters into force in respect of the Contracting States referred to in subparagraph (1)(a) or the Contracting State referred to in subparagraph (1)(b) of article 1.



Avoiding the 'only half of the field' mistake

- ▷ The 'only half of the field' mistake
- Covering the entire field: CISG disputes involving a party from Hong Kong may be decided:
 - By courts in Hong Kong
 - By courts in the contracting partner's home jurisdiction
 - By courts in a third jurisdiction
 - By arbitral tribunals
- - Most recent published decision involved contract from 2020



CISG's application in/to other jurisdictions (1)



CISG decisions by courts in Fiji: 0 CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Fiji: 0



Finland

CISG decisions by courts in Finland: 27 CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Finland: 57



France

CISG decisions by courts in France: 570

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from France: 965



Gabon

CISG decisions by courts in Gabon:

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Gabon: 0



Georgia

CISG decisions by courts in Georgia: 18

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Georgia: 14



Germany

CISG decisions by courts in Germany: 854

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Germany: 1977

(Screenshot from www.cisg-online.org (30 April 2024))

CISG's application in/to other jurisdictions (2)



Isle of Man

CISG decisions by courts on the Isle of Man: 0

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from the Isle of Man: 2



Israel

CISG decisions by courts in Israel: 7 CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Israel: 22



Italy

CISG decisions by courts in Italy: 147

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Italy: 1060



Japan

CISG decisions by courts in Japan: 6 CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Japan: 44



Jersey (British crown dependency)

CISG decisions by courts in Jersey: 0 CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Jersey: 5



Jordan

CISG decisions by courts in Jordan:

CISG decisions worldwide involving parties from Jordan: 7

(Screenshot from www.cisg-online.org (30 April 2024))



CISG's application in/to other jurisdictions (3)



Published CISG cases adjudicated by courts in Singapore:

8 decisions 3 cases

Published CISG cases worldwide involving parties from Singapore:

62 decisions 52 cases

Parties from Singapore most frequently settled their CISG disputes in:

1. Arbitration	22 decisions	22 cases	42.31 %
2. Australia	7 decisions	6 cases	11.54 %
3. China	7 decisions	5 cases	9.62 %
4. Korea, Republic of (South Korea)	4 decisions	3 cases	5.77 %
5. Uzbekistan	3 decisions	3 cases	5.77 %
6. Spain	5 decisions	2 cases	3.85 %
7. Germany	3 decisions	2 cases	3.85 %
8. USA	2 decisions	2 cases	3.85 %
9. Singapore	2 decisions	2 cases	3.85 %
10. Belgium	2 decisions	1 case	1.92 %

(Screenshots from www.cisg-online.org (30 April 2024))

Factors influencing the CISG's application

- CISG awareness among legal practitioners in a given jurisdiction
 - Experience of counsel in international sales matters
 - Is the CISG part of the law school curriculum?
- Does application depend on a party pleading the CISG?
 - In CISG Contracting States, CISG is local (not: foreign) law
 - Role of iura novit curia principle
- > Frequency of the CISG's exclusion (Art. 6 CISG) in practice
 - But: any exclusion requires both parties' consent (!)



The way forward

- CISG awareness of practitioners necessary to be able to use the CISG to clients' advantage
 - In other jurisdictions, CISG awareness already exists
- Only educated contractual exclusion of the CISG advisable

Thank you!

Professor Dr Ulrich G. Schroeter

Faculty of Law, University of Basel
Peter Merian-Weg 8, CH-4002 Basel
Switzerland
Tel. +41 61 207 58 41, Fax +41 61 207 25 48
ulrich.schroeter@unibas.ch, mail@ulrichschroeter.com
www.ulrichschroeter.com